

**Humanities and Natural Sciences College Assembly**  
**May 3, 2012**

**MINUTES**

---

**I. Call to Order**

The assembly was called to order at 12:30 p.m. by Dean JoAnn Cruz in Bobet Hall 332.

Attended: Adams, Altschul, B. Anderson (Kornovich's proxy), Bednarz, Berendzen, Biguenet, Birdwhistell, Brice, Brungardt, Cahill, Chambers, Clark, Coolidge, Corbin, Dittrich, Dupuis, Eklund, Ewell, Farge (O. Ranner's proxy), Fernandez, Gerlich, Gossiaux, Hauber, Henne (Tablante's proxy), Hoffman, Hood, Kelly, Li, Mabe, Matei, McCay, Melancon, Moazami, Moore, Peterson (Mui's proxy), Quesada, Rosenbecker (Parliamentarian), Salmon, Saxton, Schaberg, Shanata, Spence, Stephenson, Tan, Thibodeaux, Thum, Tucci, Underwood, Welsh, Willems, and Associate Dean Hunt.

**II. Invocation**

Visiting Assistant Professor Sylvester Tan, S.J., delivered the invocation.

**III. Approval of Minutes**

The minutes of April 19 were approved as written.

**IV. Announcements**

1. The end of year party is also a farewell party to honor Dean Cruz; it will be May 10 from 5:30-7:30 PM at 123 Walnut Street.
2. An at-large member of the CCC is needed; faculty members are invited to apply.
3. Honors Convocation is May 11 at 11:00 AM.
4. October film festival will present screenings of INS international movies; faculty members are invited to contact Dr. Dittmar Dittrich to suggest films.

**V. Reports**

1. Dean Cruz reported on the outcome of delivery of the protocol for "Research Course Releases" to CPT for the Handbook. She said the document may need to be refined and is not ready for the Handbook.
2. Dr. Birdwhistell presented a report on processes and results of equity distribution for the College. He thanked equity committee members Drs. Maria Calzada, Thom Spence and Ralph Tucci. He credited their argument, made in data presented to the Provost, with the resultant 1.5% to HUNS of the 1.0% equity pool. After viewing and discussing the equations used and a sample spreadsheet, faculty members asked to obtain CUPA data, even if it required a financial outlay by the college to have it delivered.
3. Dean Cruz reported from CPT on the recent SCCC vote on "Creative Arts and Cultures" document slated to go forward to SCAP. Faculty voiced concerns, including the following:
  - Goal 5 as rewritten was not accepted by the workgroup.
  - Legitimacy of the document was questioned.
  - When faculty members attempted to prepare syllabi, the document did not reflect the scope of both arts and cultures.
  - Originator of the document should come forward.
  - Faculty who teach cultures should send descriptions of difficulties to SCAP.

Dean Cruz said that she would be happy to ask for a meeting with the Provost before SCAP meets; she called upon Drs. Don Hauber, Sara Butler, Thom Spence and Rosalie Anderson.

**VI. Old Business**

Motion 1 (attached): Dr. David Moore presented the motion to revise the College Handbook section “Search Procedures for New Dean,” with the marked changes intended to clean up the document and to incorporate the experience of previous searches. The motion was introduced April 19 by Dr. Maria Calzada and given in the April 19 and May 3 assembly agendas. Move to accept the language of the motion was made and seconded. The question was called. Vote on the question was by voice, with all in favor, none opposed and none abstained. Dean Cruz announced that the motion passed.

Motion 2 (attached): Dean Cruz presented the motion to revise the College Handbook with regard to assembly voting eligibility in Articles II (part-time) and VI (proxy), as introduced by Dr. Maria Calzada on April 19. Dean Cruz said that further clarification is needed. She said the definition of part-time – “teach one course each semester” – describes part-time status that won’t be known in the first semester. She asked for a move to delay the motion to take it up in the fall assembly. Move to delay was made and seconded. Vote was by voice, with all in favor, none opposed and none abstained. Dean Cruz announced that the original motion will be delayed until the fall assembly.

**VIII. Move to Adjourn**

The meeting was adjourned at 1:45 p.m.

**Attachments:**

Motion 1 - Handbook re Search for New Dean

Motion 2 - Handbook re Assembly Voting Eligibility (Articles II and VI)

## Handbook of the College of Humanities and Natural Sciences

### Search Procedures for New Dean

1. In the event of a vacancy occurring in the office of the Dean, a Search Committee will be formed. The Committee will be composed of one full-time faculty member from each department in the College, a student from the College, one staff member from the College, and a dean from another College in the University, who will be the ex officio, non-voting chair of the Committee. DSAC will recommend three students representatives to the Provost / Vice President for Academic Affairs, who will select one to serve on the Dean's Search Committee. The student recommendations need not be limited to DSAC representatives but could be any Humanities & Natural Sciences students.
2. As soon as the committee can assemble, the Provost / Vice President for Academic Affairs will issue its mandate. ~~At this organizational meeting a chairperson will be nominated by the committee and elected in a secret ballot. Also a~~At this meeting, a time table will be agreed upon.
3. The presidents and rectors of every Jesuit university in the USA should be contacted to request nominations for the position. Letters requesting nominations will be sent to every Jesuit provincial and every Jesuit president and rector of the Jesuit universities in the USA.  
Nominations will also be requested from the university administration and from the faculty, staff and student body of the College. An announcement to this effect should also be placed in the Chronicle of Higher Education and other appropriate national journals and bulletins. Among the qualifications listed for the position should be all of the following: An earned doctorate or its equivalent in an academic field represented in the College; tenure; administrative experience in an academic institution at level of Dean, Assistant Dean, chairperson, or program director; background of academic teaching and research that is commensurate to that of a Full Professor in the College; ~~basic~~ commitment to the values and vision of Loyola University as an educational institution operating in a context of Jesuit and Catholic mission. A deadline for accepting applications will be clearly stated in the announcement. It may also be stated that the search will continue until the position is filled.
4. Each application and nomination will be acknowledged by return mail/e-mail. Candidates rejected by the committee will also be notified by mail/e-mail as soon as possible. Candidates in whom the committee, after an initial screening, ~~are~~ is still interested, will be so informed and specific requests for additional information, will be made as necessary.
5. The committee will begin to hold its meetings just as soon as the number of applications warrants it. Ideally the committee will meet weekly. All meetings are confidential. Nominees

will be contacted as quickly as possible to determine whether they are interested in applying formally for the position.

6. During the weekly meetings of the committee there will be an ongoing review of the applications and a screening process to come up with a manageable list of candidates. A positive vote of five or more members will be needed to keep a candidate's name on the list of those who are to be given serious consideration.

7. After the deadline for receiving applications has passed, a study will be made of the names designated "for serious consideration." A simple majority of votes will suffice for an applicant to qualify as a semi-finalist. After the Dean's Search Committee's selection of the semi-finalists, the candidates' vitas and accompanying materials will be sent to the appropriate departments for review with the prior permission of the candidates. After a departmental review is completed, the department should send its recommendation to the search committee chair along with a letter addressed to the CRTC to be used in the event the candidate makes the final cut and is among the finalists. Once the finalists are selected by the search committee ~~determined~~, the CRTC will be convened and all necessary documentation (including departmental recommendations) will be supplied by the search committee to the CRTC. Results of the CRTC will then be sent back to the search committee who in making their ~~its~~ final decision/recommendation will forward a rank ordered list of the finalists along with appropriate documents regarding their tenure to the Provost /Academic Vice President and the President.

8. At the next meeting, after a review of each candidate's qualifications in relation to the specific needs of the College, the number of finalists should be established. Each of the finalists should be contacted by phone and/or mail/e-mail and be invited to Loyola for an on-campus interview. These finalists should be sent a copy of the following documents: the University Faculty Handbook, the College Handbook, and the University Bulletin. Finalists should submit a written statement of their educational philosophy in light of the previous documents, especially the Loyola Goals and Character & Commitment Statements. While on campus each of the finalists shall have an opportunity to be interviewed by the following officers and groups:

President of the University

Provost / Vice president for Academic Affairs

All other Vice Presidents

Deans

Chairpersons of the College

Faculty of the College

Candidates' respective departments

Representatives of DSAC

Dean's Office staff

Search Committee

~~A meeting with the Jesuit Community is recommended to be included in the itinerary of the finalists~~  
Finalists' itineraries should include a meeting with the Jesuit Community.

~~9. Some systematic effort should be made by the~~The committee ~~to should~~ obtain the ~~feedback~~evaluations of candidates by the Deans, chairpersons, faculty, Jesuit Community, students, and Dean's Office Staff.

~~110.~~ The deliberations by the committee about the candidates are to be kept secret. The publication of the committee's recommendations is to be left to the discretion of the Provost / Vice President for Academic Affairs who will inform the finalists as to the outcome of the search and his or her ultimate decision.

Revised and Approved by College Assembly 11-20-2008

## **ARTICLE II**

### **Membership**

The membership of the Assembly shall be composed of the Dean, the Assistant/Associate Dean, and all members of the faculty. Full-time faculty members have one (1) vote and ~~part time~~<sup>1</sup> half-time faculty members have one-half (1/2) vote.

---

<sup>1</sup> A part-time faculty is defined as a faculty member who is teaching (or is expected to teach) at least one 3-credit course each semester of an academic year. The office of the dean will compile a list of such part-time faculty in August each academic year. The list will be updated the following January.

## **ARTICLE VI**

### **Voting at Assembly Meetings**

1. In order to vote at Assembly meetings, members must be either present or submit notification in writing to the secretary designating who in that member's voting unit may cast his or her proxy.

| Proxies are allowed only for [full-time](#) members teaching a regularly scheduled class that conflicts with the meeting time of the Assembly and for faculty absent on University business. The proxy must be submitted one working day in advance of the meeting.